

**GENERAL PURPOSES TRIBUNAL  
OF FOOTBALL NEW SOUTH WALES  
FINAL DETERMINATION  
IN THE FOLLOWING MATTER:**

**GPT 19/27**

<b>Date of Hearing</b>	5th August 2019
<b>Date of Final Determination</b>	9th August 2019
<b>Respondent</b>	Ms Brooke Miller, (the Respondent).
<b>Attendees, Witnesses &amp; Documents</b>	As attached in Schedules 1 & 3
<b>The basis upon which the matter is before the General Purposes Tribunal</b>	Football NSW Grievance and Disciplinary Regulations 2019 Sections 9.2, 16.4, FFA Code of Conduct and Football NSW Competition Regulations.
<b>Key Words/Phrases</b>	Serious Foul Play, Schedule 3, Table A, R1, Offence Code 05-01 – <i>“Conduct causing serious injury”</i> , video evidence, FIFA Considerations for Analysis of Match Situations, Defence of “I won the ball”, Meaning of Serious Foul Play.
<b>Finding &amp; Sanction</b>	<b>Guilty</b> <b>Suspended for five (5) Fixtures</b>
<b>General Purposes Tribunal Members</b>	Mr David P. Lewis (Chair) Mr Robert Iaconis Mr Marcelo Valerio

## A. INTRODUCTION AND JURISDICTION

1. The General Purposes Tribunal (GPT) has been established by Football NSW (FNSW) pursuant to Section 4 of the Football NSW Grievance and Disciplinary Regulations ("Regulations"). This matter was determined pursuant to the 2019 Regulations: The GPT may impose sanctions in accordance with Schedule 3 of the Regulations.

## B. NOTICES OF CHARGES

2. On 31 July 2019, Football NSW (FNSW) issued a Notice of Charge on the Respondent, **Ms Brooke Miller**, a Participant as defined in Schedule 1 of the Regulations ("the Respondent") relating to her conduct during the 1<sup>st</sup> Grade WNPL2 match between Central Coast Mariners FC and APIA Leichhardt Tigers FC on 21 July 2019 at Pluim Park Central Coast.

3. The Notice to the Participant specified the following charge:

### **Charge 1**

"During the 1<sup>st</sup> Grade WNPL2 match between Central Coast Mariners FC (the **Club**) and APIA Leichhardt Tigers FC on 21 July 2019 at Pluim Park, Central Coast Mariners player, Brooke Miller (#14), (**Respondent**) was shown a Red Card for Serious Foul Play on APIA's number 9, who subsequently fractured her ankle and broke her tibia and fibula."

4. The Respondent was charged under section 9.2 of Football NSW Regulations ("the Regulations") for alleged breaches by the Respondent of section 16.4(d) of the Football NSW Grievance and Disciplinary Regulations 2019, namely Schedule 3, Table A, R1, Offence Code 05-01 - *"Conduct causing serious injury"*.

## **Proposed Sanction**

5. Under the Regulations, Football NSW has discretion to deal with matters without taking the matter to a hearing, provided the Respondent agrees to plead guilty to the charge proposed. If the Respondent does not agree, then the matter proceeds to a hearing.
6. After reviewing the reports and evidence provided, and based on reference to other similar matters dealt with by Football NSW, this discretion was not exercised, FNSW issued the above-mentioned Notice of Charge and the Respondent was directed to issue a Notice of Response.
7. The Respondent pleaded NOT GUILTY and the matter proceeded to a hearing before the General Purposes Tribunal (GPT).

## C. NOTICE OF RESPONSE AND EVIDENCE

8. In her defence the Respondent tendered a statement dated 27 July 2019 to FNSW ("the Statement") in addition to the Prescribed Form 10 - Notice of Response. In the Notice of Response the Respondent pleaded Not Guilty to the Charge.
9. The Respondent submitted the following:

*"On Sunday the 21st of July, I was the defender for CCM that was involved in a tackle with an Apia player in the second half. It began when an Apia player managed to beat my right back on the ball in the corner of our half. This resulted in the Apia player having a heavy touch which I saw as an opportunity to clear the ball away from danger and a potential goal. Both the Apia player and I began running towards the ball and as I've kicked the ball away the Apia player has collided with me. The next thing I heard was a loud crack as I collapsed to the ground. My initial thought was the sound was our two shin pads colliding in the tackle. I ended up on the ground in pain and my first thought was, "have I injured*

*my knee once again". I then heard screaming coming from the Apia player who was involved in the tackle, although I couldn't see much as I was face down clutching my leg and surrounded by my teammates and Physio."*

*"After about 5 minutes on the ground, I managed to get up and make my way towards the dugout slowly. I was there for quite some time before the referee approached me and displayed the red card. I can't quite recall his reasoning for the card as I was in shock because as far as I knew the tackle was 50/50 and this was the first red card I had ever received in my football career."*

10. **Mr Greg Hartge**, the Central Coast Mariners WA Reserve Grade Coach/First Grade Assistant Coach noted in his undated witness statement:

*"The tackle was 50/50, with both players committed to winning the ball. The tackle was fair by both players. In my opinion it was play on, but wasn't sure if the result of the tackle was a throw in or a corner."*

...

*"At no stage did I feel that there was anything wrong with the tackle, nor do I believe the referee did either, having originally indicating for a corner. I believe that the red card was purely a result of seeing the unfortunate injury to the Apia girl."*

11. **Ms Laura Watt**, Physiotherapist for the NPL 2 Women's Central Coast Mariners, noted in her undated witness statement:

*"Brooke Miller had a one-on-one challenge against an APIA player which resulted in the APIA striker sustaining a fracture to her lower leg, and the central coast player sustained a large amount of bruising, swelling and pain to her medial shin area. This was a clean fair tackle that unfortunately resulted in a large injury to the opposing player. The tackle did not involve any malice towards the opposing player, and where the APIA player tried to strike the ball she instead struck the Mariners's (sic.) players shin resulting in the large amount of swelling and bruising on the Mariners player, and the injury to the APIA player."*

*"I was unaware how serious the injury was and neither did the other players of both teams surrounding both players at that time. Thus the tackle did not appear to have any malice intent, or appear to the players to be of an unfair manner, as we only realised the seriousness after a short time when the APIA player was in distress."*

12. **Mr Darryl Darke**, Goalkeeper Coach for the Mariners Women's Academy, noted in his witness statement dated 27 July:

*"The APIA attacker was transitioning with the ball along the bi line when the CCM defender approached from a diagonal angle. Both players where moving with pace and on impact/tackle the ball was propelled over the fence and in the direction of the car park, which to me indicated that the defender had won the ball cleanly."*

13. **Ms Kaitlin Murphy**, right back for Central Coast Mariners, noted in her witness statement dated 21 July:

*"The Apia player had got around me in the area adjacent to the corner post. In this maneuver she pushed the ball out in front of her allowing my Centre back to reach the ball marginally faster in what was a 50:50 tackle, and cleared it over the back line. A corner was awarded to Apia by the referee immediately."*

*"With both players committed to the tackle their legs / shins collided and both players dropped to the ground in pain."*

14. **Mr Darren Pratt**, U14 Youth Boys Coach Central Coast Mariners, noted in his witness statement dated 25 July:

*The Apia player retrieved a loose ball from the corner & turned towards the penalty box to drive towards goal. The Apia player had a loose touch on the turn which enabled the CCMA player to close the player down & win the ball in the tackle."*

*As both players were running at pace towards each other & the ball was in a 50/50 position there was a big impact in the tackle with both players clashing shins.*

*The CCMA player won the ball in the tackle forcing the ball over the sideline (up over the fence).*

*The initial decision by the referee was to award a corner deeming the tackle to be clean.*

*After an extended period of time (approximately eight minutes) & continued reaction by the Apia coaching staff & a couple of players he decided the tackle was a foul & issued a red card. This appeared to be a decision based on the seriousness of the injury not based on his initial decision of a corner.*

*Immediately following the tackle with both players laying on the ground injured I had a conversation with an Apia supporter that I had been communicating with during the game & we both agreed it was a fair tackle with an unfortunate injury to the Apia player the result.*

*This was in my opinion not even a card however due t (sic.) the serious injury emotion and reaction took control of the referee.*

15. **Mr Ken Schembri**, Academy Director, Central Coast Mariners, noted in his emailed witness statement dated 29 July:

*"Our Club is in no doubt that this was an unfortunate injury to our opponent. In our view this was NOT a Yellow card offence. Unfortunately emotion played a significant part in this send off."*

16. **Mr Ken Schembri**, Academy Director, Central Coast Mariners, noted in his additional witness statement dated 5 August:

*"Our position, clearly supported by video, is that this incident is NOT serious foul play and is nothing more than a very unfortunate accident as a result of a tackle that won the ball and a clash of both player's shins resulted in Logan being injured."*

And further:

*"A review of the video clearly shows Brooke won the ball, after the Apia Player Logan had pushed the ball to (sic.) far in front of her, with the top of her right boot, no studs showing nor was Brooke's studs showing with left leg bent, this in fact is impossible to do and the referee was 15 meters away not four. We note the referee does not award a free kick for foul play."*

...

*"In our opinion, the referee was clearly intimidated by opposing coaches and caught up in such an emotional incident that he incorrectly issued a red card."*

**Mr Schembri** also claimed that the referee report is inaccurate and, we assume, cannot be relied upon given that it includes the Charge Code R4 rather than R1. Mr Schembri claims this demonstrates *"the referee was initially unsure of his position"*.

17. The Referee, who was in close proximity to the Respondent at the moment of impact, and who had a clear view of the incident, wrote in his report:

*"As Number 9 took a touch towards the goal, number 14 of Central Coast Mariners (Brooke Miller) who was already on a Yellow card for unsporting behaviour, came running from about 5 metres away from the right of the Apia Number 9 and attempted to challenge for the ball with a sliding tackle, her right leg was straight with her studs up and her left leg bent with her studs showing as well. Brooke Miller was successful in playing at the ball and successfully cleared the ball, but she also followed through with extreme speed and using excessive force her studs connected directly with Apia Number 9's right ankle."*

#### D. THE HEARING

18. The Respondent, aged 21, appeared before the Tribunal on 5 August 2019 with the following support personnel from Central Coast Mariners
  - a. Mr Greg Hartge, WA Reserve Grade Coach/First Grade Assistant Coach;
  - b. Ms Donna Hines, Senior Squad Manager;
  - c. Rhiannon Hines, Support Person.
19. It was clear to the Tribunal that the Respondent and her abovementioned representatives were completely unaware of the procedures of the GPT and were largely unprepared. The Respondent's representatives had no knowledge of the Regulations and the Club failed to properly prepare these representatives.
20. The Tribunal discussed these observations with the representatives and, as they had travelled from the Central Coast, it was decided that the Tribunal would proceed and the representatives consented to this approach.
21. As Mr Greg Hartge was best placed to advocate on behalf of the Respondent and given the fact that she was clearly in need of that assistance, even given that Mr Greg Hartge was a witness, the Tribunal proposed that he be permitted to remain in the hearing room throughout the proceedings.
22. None of the persons that submitted witness statements as set out in paragraphs 11 to 17 above attended the Hearing. As a result the Tribunal was unable to test the evidence in those statements and no weight was therefore afforded to these statements.
23. The Tribunal advised the Club that as a matter of proper procedure, if persons wished to give evidence then they at the very least need to make themselves available to the Tribunal so their evidence may be examined and tested. Witnesses may do this in person or on telephone or video link.
24. The Respondent, through her representatives, sought to challenge the evidence of the Match Official claiming that he was in error to issue a Red Card as, they asserted, the video clearly demonstrated that the Respondent challenged fairly for the ball, played and cleared the ball and that the injury to the APIA player was simply an unfortunate result of a collision between the players. The Respondent claimed in her written statement that the APIA player had collided with her.

#### E. BACKGROUND, SUBMISSIONS & EVIDENCE

25. The Tribunal presented the video recording of the incident and asked Mr Hartge to explain to the Tribunal what he saw on the day and what his claim was in defence of the actions of the Respondent. He reiterated that he did not consider the tackle to be a foul and confirmed the contents of his written statement.
26. Mr Hartge maintained that as The Respondent cleared the ball in her tackle before making contact with the APIA player that this was then a perfectly legal tackle.
27. The Tribunal presented Mr Hartge with a copy of the Laws of The Game (LOTG) 2018-2019 and asked him to direct the Tribunal to the sections where the LOTG made it clear that if a player has played the ball then any subsequent consequences are permitted and this renders the tackle as legal. Mr Hartge was unable to do so.
28. The Tribunal referred Mr Hartge to the definition of Serious Foul Play in the LOTG on page 61 of the 2018-2019 Australian edition:

***Serious foul play***

*A tackle or challenge that endangers the safety of an opponent or uses excessive force or brutality must be sanctioned as serious foul play.*

*Any player who lunges at an opponent in challenging for the ball from the front, from the side or from behind using one or both legs, with excessive force or endangers the safety of an opponent is guilty of serious foul play.*

Under the LOTG a player, substitute or substituted player who commits the offence of Serious Foul Play must be sent off.

29. The Tribunal further referred Mr Hartge to the 30 FIFA Considerations for Analysis of Match Situations – Fouls and Misconduct: Careless, Reckless, Using Excessive Force and Violent Conduct in the LOTG on page 128 of the 2018-2019 Australian edition. (See Schedule 2).
30. It was suggested to Mr Hartge and the Respondent that the video of the incident, the LOTG and in particular the FIFA Considerations Annexure make it perfectly clear that the actions of the Respondent were consistent with the above description of Serious Foul Play and as a result the Red Card issued to the Respondent was entirely justified.
31. Mr Hartge conceded that he had learned something at the Tribunal as he was previously unaware of these definitions and, in particular, he was not aware that playing the ball first did NOT of itself render a tackle as legal.
32. As a result, the Respondent was offered an opportunity to change her plea to Guilty and she agreed to do so with the advice and assistance of Mr Hartge.

**Witness statements set out in paragraphs 11 to 17**

33. The Tribunal members unanimously noted that the evidence in the witness statements referred to in paragraphs 11 to 17 disturbed them. The fact that all of these players, coaches and officials of Central Coast Mariners, an A-League Club, exhibited complete inability to properly identify not only a foul but Serious Foul Play is a matter of significant concern.
34. The Tribunal found that the tackle by the Respondent was an unambiguous foul and that many of the tests set out in Schedule 2 were met thereby rendering that foul a clear example of Serious Foul Play.
35. The extent of the injury to the APIA player is NOT a factor in determining whether a foul has been committed. One of the most relevant tests is whether the tackle endangers the safety of an opponent and not the injury itself.
36. Many of these Witness Statements were also self-serving and expressed opinions on the interpretation of the LOTG without any claim to specialist or expert knowledge or registration of any of these persons as a Referee. No evidence was produced to substantiate that these witnesses were in any way qualified to make the claim that this was a fair tackle under the LOTG. In any case, the Tribunal was in no doubt that this evidence was tainted, unreliable as well as wrong in law.
37. The Tribunal rejected the claim by Mr Schembri, Academy Director, and others that the referee was unsure of himself and influenced by others. The error in his report where he reported R4 as the send-off code (instead of R1) was clearly a typographical error and not one that had any effect on his decision-making, judgment or determination that the tackle was an example of Serious Foul Play. Making such a claim was a discredit to the Club.
38. The Tribunal noted the absence of any statement of contrition by the Respondent. In response she gave verbal evidence that she had been in contact via social media with the APIA player and had “checked in with her” in recent days.
39. Given that the Respondent changed her plea to Guilty, the Match Official was not required to give evidence. He was however invited to appear before the Tribunal and he was thanked for his attendance.

**E. CONSIDERATION & DETERMINATIONS**

**MGPT 16-31 – Jackson Menin**

40. In MGPT 16-31 the Tribunal considered the meaning of Serious Foul Play when considering the actions of a Player who ran at and collided with another player. The Respondent in that matter argued that he was justified in running towards the opposition Player, as he was entitled to attempt to clear the ball.

41. He further argued that his running approach and body position was inconsistent with an act of Serious Foul Play given the position of his feet and his attempt to slow down. Extensive submissions were made relating to individual images of the incident and it was claimed that the video was consistent with a view that the Respondent did not intend to injure the opposition Player.
42. That Respondent then argued that:
- a. the video evidence did not support the charge; and
  - b. the Respondent played the ball first and therefore this was not a foul; and
  - c. extreme weather conditions in Sydney that day contributed or was the principal cause of the injury suffered by the opposition Player and not the actions of the Respondent; and
  - d. the Referee's Match Report is significantly inconsistent with the actual facts of the incident; and
  - e. at no time was the Respondent's foot in the air, had studs showing, did not lunge at the opposition Player and in fact did none of the things alleged in the Referee's Match Report.
43. The Tribunal rejected all of these claims as they were clearly inconsistent with the video evidence as well as the referee's clear and concise verbal evidence and written match report.
44. In MGPT 16-31 the Tribunal also considered the meaning of Serious Foul Play in the LOTG and made the following highly relevant observations:
- a. The Laws of The Game (LOTG) of Football make it perfectly clear that a tackle of an opponent that involves excessive force or brutality are Fouls that are punishable by way of a Direct Free Kick (DFK)<sup>1</sup>. This same Law makes it clear that a player who is guilty of Serious Foul Play (R1) must be sent off, that is, must be issued with a Red Card.
  - b. **Defence of "I got the ball Ref!!"** - There is considerable misunderstanding of the LOTG by Players, Spectators and even coaches often claim that no foul is committed if a Player plays the ball first.
  - c. For a tackle on an opponent to be a foul the challenge must be "*careless, reckless, or with excessive force*". That means regardless of the fact that the player got the entire ball, partial ball, or even a small piece of the ball, the challenging player may still commit a foul at the same time or immediately after the challenge. The tackling of an opponent to gain possession of the ball is legal under the Laws of the Game but must also be fair regardless of the contact that is made with the ball. Players do not have the right to endanger another player when challenging for the ball or commit a secondary foul.
  - d. There is considerable precedent that establishes that the conduct of the Respondent amounted to Serious Foul Play and that not only has he been correctly charged by FNSW, the referee has correctly awarded a Red Card for Serious Foul Play (R1).<sup>2</sup>
  - e. The Tribunal found the submissions offered by the Respondent, both verbally and in writing, to be wholly inconsistent with the evidence in the matter. The attempt to apportion blame to any other person for the actions of the Respondent was totally rejected by the Tribunal.
  - f. The Tribunal determined that this was a very serious offence and if the Respondent was a senior player, a far greater sanction would be imposed.<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Law 12 FIFA LOTG

<sup>2</sup> MGPT 16-31 – Jackson Menin, p6.

<sup>3</sup> Ibid. p 6.

## F. FINDINGS

45. The Tribunal found that the evidence of the Match Official was to be preferred. The video evidence was clearly consistent with a finding that the Respondent had committed a foul that amounted to Serious Foul Play.
46. The Tribunal found the Respondent **Ms. Brooke Miller** GUILTY of the Charge.
47. The Tribunal recommends that Central Coast Mariners ensure that relevant members of the coaching staff enrol in a Referee training course so that they gain the knowledge and experience of what constitutes Serious Foul Play.

## G. SANCTIONS

48. The Tribunal found that the actions of the Respondent constituted an offence under Schedule 3, Table A, Offence Code R1 Grading 03-01 – Serious Foul Play – *“Conduct that endangers the safety of an opponent in a contest for the ball or has the potential to cause injury”*.
49. The Respondent is suspended for **five (5) Fixtures for the offence under the Charge** from all Football related activities, including spectating and training. This reduced suspension has been imposed given that the Respondent changed her plea to GUILTY during the Hearing.
50. Football NSW has advised the Tribunal that the Respondent had already commenced serving her Fixture suspension and had been stood down since the relevant incident equating to two (2) Fixtures. She therefore has to serve a further suspension of **three (3) Fixtures**.
51. The Respondent is to serve the Fixture suspension in accordance with section 15.6, in particular, sub-sections 15.6(j), but the Tribunal has, under sub-section 15.6(h), determined that the Fixture suspension will also extend to Spectating such that the Respondent is not entitled to attend any Fixtures that her club is participating in during the Fixture suspension. For clarity, the Respondent is free to train with her club during the Fixture suspension.
52. The Tribunal determined that the Respondent pay the costs of the Tribunal processes.

Aggrieved parties to a determination of the FNSW General Purposes Tribunal may lodge an appeal to the FNSW Appeals Tribunal in accordance with articles 9.6 and 10 of the FNSW Grievance and Disciplinary Regulations 2019. Any appeal must be submitted by completing the online Notice of Appeal form (Prescribed Form 12) to [tribunal@footballnsw.com.au](mailto:tribunal@footballnsw.com.au) with the relevant Application Fee within seven (7) working days of this Final Determination being issued.



David P. Lewis

Chairman

12 August 2019



# Schedule 1

## Index of Documents

<b>FOOTBALL NSW RULES AND REGULATIONS</b>	<b>Football NSW Grievance and Disciplinary Regulations</b>
<b>ANNEXURE MO1</b>	Send Off Offence – R Fisher (Referee) dated 23 July 2019
<b>ANNEXURE MO2</b>	Match Official Incident Report – S Foster (AR1) dated 5 August 2019
<b>ANNEXURE 1</b>	APIA Nature of Injury, undated, author and date unknown
<b>ANNEXURE 2</b>	Match Video
<b>ANNEXURE</b>	Witness Statement – Brooke Miller 27 July 2019
<b>ANNEXURE</b>	Witness Statement – Ken Schembri CCM 5 August 2019
<b>ANNEXURE</b>	Witness Statement – Greg Hartge CCMWA undated
<b>ANNEXURE</b>	Witness Statement – Laura Watt, CCM Physiotherapist, undated
<b>ANNEXURE</b>	Witness Statement – Darryl Darke, CCM Coach, 27 July 2019
<b>ANNEXURE</b>	Witness Statement – Kaitlin Murphy, CCM Player, 21 July 2019
<b>ANNEXURE</b>	Witness Statement – Darren Pratt, CCM Youth Coach, 25 July
<b>ANNEXURE</b>	Witness Statement – Ken Schembri CCM Email 29 July 2019

## Schedule 2

### FIFA Considerations for Analysis of Match Situations

#### Fouls & Misconduct: Careless, Reckless, Using Excessive Force and Violent Conduct

- 1 Does the player show a lack of attention or consideration when making the challenge?
- 2 Does the player act without precaution when making the challenge?
- 3 Does the player make fair or unfair contact with the opponent after touching the ball?
- 4 Does the player act with disregard to the danger for the opponent?
- 5 Does the player act with disregard to consequences for the opponent?
- 6 Does the player have a chance of playing the ball in a fair manner?
- 7 Does the challenge put the opponent in a dangerous situation?
- 8 Does the player touch the ball after making contact with the opponent?
- 9 Does the player use excessive force and endanger the safety of the opponent?
- 10 Does the player use brutality against the opponent when challenging for the ball?
- 11 Does the challenge endanger the safety of the opponent?
- 12 What degree of speed and/or intensity is the player using when making the challenge?
- 13 Does the player show clear malice when making the challenge?
- 14 Does the player lunge on the opponent from the front, from the side or from behind?
- 15 Which part of the body has the player used to make contact?
- 16 Does the player use studs when making a tackle?
- 17 On which part of the opponent's body is contact made?
- 18 In which direction are the tackler's feet pointing?
- 19 Does the player challenge for the ball at the moment the contact is made?
- 20 Does the player charge the opponent in a fair manner?
- 21 Do you consider the foul an act of violent conduct or a serious foul play?
- 22 Has the challenge been committed in a fair manner or a careless manner?
- 23 Does the player use the arm as a 'tool' or a 'weapon'?
- 24 Does the player challenge for the ball in a fair manner?
- 25 Does the player attempt to use excessive force or brutality against an opponent when not challenging for the ball?
- 26 Is the force used to strike the player's head/face negligible?
- 27 Does the player throw an object at the ball, opponent or match official?
- 28 Does the player commit an offence outside the field of play against someone from his own team?
- 29 Does the player bite or spit at someone?
- 30 Does the player's action threaten to cause injury to someone including the player himself?

#### Interfere with or Stop a Promising Attack

- 31 What is the distance between the offence and the goal?
- 32 Does the player have control of the ball?
- 33 Can the player gain control of the ball?
- 34 What is the direction of play?
- 35 How many defenders are involved in the situation?
- 36 Where are the defenders located?
- 37 How many attackers are involved in the situation?
- 38 Where are the attackers located?
- 39 How many options to pass the ball did the player have when he was fouled?
- 40 Does the foul interfere with or stop a promising attack?
- 41 Does the handball offence interfere with or stop a promising attack?

# Schedule 3

## Attendee Register



**GENERAL PURPOSES TRIBUNAL**  
**GPT 19-27**  
**Monday 5 August, 2019 at 7.30pm**  
**FNSW Headquarters**

### ATTENDEE REGISTER

ATTENDEE	POSITION	SIGNATURE
David Lewis	GPT Chairman	
Robert Iaconis	GPT Member	
Marcelo Valerio	GPT Member	
Yianni Mavromoustakos	Football NSW	
Greg Hartog	CCMA - Ass. Coach	
Brooke Miller	Player	
DONNA HINES	SENIOR SQUAD MANAGER	
Rhiannon Hines	Support person	
Rowan Fisher	Referee	